

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,606	10/27/2003	Wolfgang Drahm		6460
23364	7590 05/02/2005		EXAM	INER
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			BELLAMY, TAMIKO D	
625 SLATERS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2856	

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SM

1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 February 2005. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claim's 4)□ Claim(s) 11:21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) 11:21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) 11:12.18.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) 13:17 and:19 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application papers 10)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Application specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application of request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119³ 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some *Oi□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 2.□ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pa	* **	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Tamiko D. Bellamy - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Be an expected by the control of the control of the control of the period for reply spicified above is less than thisty (00 days, a regively within the standary minimum of stays (00 days) with the control of standary with the standary minimum of stays (00 days) with the standary minimum of standary minimum of stays (00 days) with the standary minimum		10/693,606	DRAHM ET AL.					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editations of time mapple semilarity leight in provious of 3 CFR 1.13(s). In or event, however, may a reply the limitery filed after SIX (8) MONTH (SP from the mailing date of his communication, of 3 CFR 1.13(s). In or event, however, may a reply the limitery filed after SIX (9) MONTH (SP from the mailing date of his communication, of 3 CFR 1.13(s). In or event, however, may a reply the limitery filed after SIX (9) MONTH (SP from the mailing date of his communication, of 1 Ho part of creptly his period study, the maximum statutes profit of viley by and will reply sell, 60) MONTH (SP for the mailing date). Part of the communication of the communication, and the communication of the commun	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time insight available wider the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(d). In no event, however, may a raply be timely fitted If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SV. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SV. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of thirty (30) days will be a considered timely. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SV. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even of simely filed, may reduce any search patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Status Status 1) [A Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1.7 February 2005. 2a] This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) [Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) [Claim(s) 11-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above daim(s) [is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5] [Claim(s) 11-12.18.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) [Claim(s) 11-12.18.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) [Claim(s) 11-12.18.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) [Claim(s) 11-12.18.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 8) [The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2) [Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2) [Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2) [Ackno		Tamiko D. Bellamy	2856					
THE MAILING DATE OF THES COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time maybe available uitger the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (5) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If XD provision of the provision of the communication of the communic								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 February 2005. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claim's 4)□ Claim(s) 11:21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) 11:21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) 11:12.18.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) 13:17 and:19 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application papers 10)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Application specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application of request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119³ 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some *Oi□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 2.□ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pa	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 11-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-11-16.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 13-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-121 is/are allowed. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * O) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status	·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 11:21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11:12.18 20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Parlapserson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Draftapserson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Draftapserson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) Notice of Draftapserson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 February 2005</u> .						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	- /	-						
Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 11:21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are, allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 11.12.18.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 13:17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C; § 119* 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * o) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-952) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Palent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s/Mail Date								
4) Claim(s) 11-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are, allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11.12.18.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13-77 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * o) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are, allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 11.12.18.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 13.17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Orraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) □ Notice of Orraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Orraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Orraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Orraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Orraftsperson's Patent Orrawing Notice of PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims							
5) Claim(s) is/are, allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are, allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5 Notice of Draftspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Notice of Draftspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
o) Claim(s) 11.12.18.20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Drataspersoris Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Drataspersoris Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Drataspersoris Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Drataspersoris Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 6) Notice of Drataspersoris Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 10 Notice of Drataspersoris Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 11 Notice of Drataspersoris Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 12 Notice of Drataspersoris Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 13 Notice of Drataspersoris Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 14 Notice of Drataspersoris Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		wn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) 13-17 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Discrete Processors Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1448 or PTO/(SB/08)) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(S) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(\$) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(\$) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(\$) including the correction is required if the drawing(\$) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Jan Sabject to restriction and s	, ologian ta quin annanii						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) Ine oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of form P10-152.							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ☐ 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Coo the attached detailed Chief deficit for the continue copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
2) Information Disclosure Statement's) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SR/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) I information Disclosure Statement(s) (F10-1449 of F10/35/00)	2) Simple of Bridge Program Statement's) (PTO-1449 or PTO(SR/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment dated 2/17/05 has been received and entered. Claims 11-21 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 11, 12, 18, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eckert (5,664,456)

Re claims 11 and 21, as depicted in fig. 1, Eckert discloses a sensor (e.g., ultrasonic transducer 2) wherein ultrasonic pulses emitted by the ultrasonic transducer are reflected at the surface of the filling material (Col. 1, lines 9-14). Eckert also discloses an electronics case (e.g., housing 1) coupled to the sensor (e.g., ultrasonic transducer 2) via way of a vibration absorber (e.g., damping layer 6). As depicted in fig. 1, Eckert discloses the electronics case (e.g., housing 1) is at least intermittently subjected to vibrations generated in or transmitted via the sensor (2). As depicted in fig. 1, Eckert discloses that the vibration absorber (e.g., damping layer 6) is affixed to a wall of the electronic case (e.g., housing 1). While, Eckert does not specifically discloses that vibration absorber (e.g., damping layer 6) is vibrated intermittently in order to dissipate the vibration energy taken into the electronics case, Eckert specifically states (See Col. 6, lines 28-33) that the vibration absorber (e.g., damping layer 6) serves the **purpose of**

Application/Control Number: 10/693,606

Art Unit: 2856

minimizing the radiation of sound energy in the radial direction and in the direction away from the diaphragm. Eckert also discloses that the distance between the sensor (2) and the electronic housing (e.g., housing 1) is 5 mm such that the oscillation behavior of the sensor (2) is not disturbed by the mechanical coupling to the electronic case (e.g., housing 1) (col.:6, lines 17-20). This teaching clearly infers and/or suggests that the vibration absorber is vibrated intermittently to dissipate the vibrational energy taken into the electronic housing. Therefore, to employ Eckert on a vibration absorber that is vibrated intermittently in order to dissipate the vibration energy taken into the electronics case on would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention since this reference explicitly teaches its use on a ultrasonic transducer for determining the filling level which includes vibration absorber between the wall of the casing and the sensor.

Re claim 12, as depicted in fig. 1, Eckert discloses that vibration absorber (e.g., damping layer 6) is positioned at a distance between the electronic case (e.g., housing 1) and the sensor (6). While Eckert discloses not specifically discloses that the vibration absorber is positioned at a distance, as far as possible, from a joint between the sensor and the electronic case, the court held in, In re Japikes, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950), that no invention in shifting the location of parts, since the operation of the device would not be modified. Therefore, to employ Eckert on a vibration absorber that is vibration absorber is positioned at a distance, as far as possible, from a joint between the sensor and the electronic case would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention since this reference explicitly teaches its use on a ultrasonic

Art Unit: 2856

transducer for determining the filling level which includes vibration absorber between the wall of the casing and the sensor.

Re claim 18, as depicted in fig. 1, Eckert discloses that the vibration absorber (e.g., damping layer 6) is disposed within the electronics case (e.g., housing 1).

Re claim 20, as depicted in fig. 1, Eckert discloses the vibration absorber (e.g., damping layer 6) comprises a plastic body (Col. 6, line 28) affixed to the wall of the electronics case (e.g., housing 1).

Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11, 12, and 18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. It is the examiners position that claims 11, 12, 18, 20, and 21 are not patentable in view of the newly applied art Eckert (5,664,456).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 13-17, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim 11, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamiko D. Bellamy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2190.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 6:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,606

Art Unit: 2856

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tamiko Bellamy

1-8.

April 26, 2005

HEZRON WILLIAMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800